

# When does it work?

The Effect of a Smart Meter Mobile Application on Korean Residential Electricity Consumption

KAIST College of Business Graduate School of Green Growth Green Business and Policy

Clara Nam Daegon Cho Miyeon Jung



ENERTALK

ENCORED

## Motivation



## **Residential Sector Electricity Consumption**

 27% of world total final electricity consumption in 2016 was from residential sector (IEA, 2018)



Source : IEA electricity statistics

### In South Korea...

- The electricity consumption of the residential sector doubled since 2000
- Total electricity consumption increased in 2018: the highest growth has been driven by the residential sector (6.3% rise )

New Technology as a Solution?



#### South Korean's Smart meter coverage



## Motivation





## Smart meter feedback can lead to energy savings

- Smart meter can fill the 'information vacuum' → Increases the visibility of energy → Trigger energy saving behavior (Fisher, 2008)
- A smart metering program from Austria and Germany showed **an average of about 3.7% electricity consumption reduction** (Schleich et al., 2011)
- 42 empirical studies on smart meter feedback an average of 7% energy reduction (Kalin et al., 2015)
- Tailored messages, personalized information, and interactive tools would lead to higher user engagement which can result in energy usage reduction (Bager & Mundaca, 2017 & Schultz, 2015)
  - Is the reduction effect sustain in the long-term?
    Experiment vs. natural setting



## **C** The saving effect is not persistent

- Device may **lose its novelty and the initial enthusiasm** fades away into the background of daily life (Buchanan et al., 2011)
- Knowledge (energy consumption) alone does not motivate the users to reduce their consumption (Hargreaves, 2010)
- A year after the smart meter intervention experiment with the same participants
  - ✓ The savings achieved during the study did not maintain after a year (Wemyss et al. 2019)

Can we conclude that smart meter is not effective in energy saving?



## When does increased knowledge lead to behavioral change?

H1: When the smart meter app is monitored on any given day of the month, the electricity consumption decreases on the following days

H2: When the electricity consumption is increased or decreased by a consumer, he/she monitors the smart meter app on the following days

H3: When the smart meter app is monitored before or after entering the next progressive tariff stage, the electricity consumption decreases





## The research was conducted in South Korea which has a unique electricity market









• Released in 2015 (1<sup>st</sup> Smart meter service provider in Korea)



- It is based on IoT technology measuring the electricity consumption of a household with the real-time information provided through the EnerTalk mobile application
- Improving In-home display's (IHD) shortcomings



\*Majority of the users have used the EnerTalk more than 6 months (74%), thus we presumed that the users are aware of the information when they open the app

## Panel electricity consumption observation data

- Observation period : 2017 9/1~11/30 (October)
- Daily consumption per household
- (Monthly) The total consumption for October month was aggregated based on individuals' billing date

## Panel EnerTalk log-in data

- Observation period : 2017 9/1~11/30
- Binary data reveals the app check (binary variable)
  - User's last app log-in time



#### Table 1. Summary statistics



42nd IAEE International Conference, Montreal Canada





## (1) Panel vector autoregression (PVAR)

### Why PVAR? How?

- It can capture the endogeneity in the panel data, and reveal the relationship between endogenous variables meaning capturing **Interdependency with heterogeneity**
- The dynamic interactions between electricity consumption and real-time feedback or EnerTalk monitoring behavior will be examined



$$Y_{it} = \begin{pmatrix} AppCheck_{it} \\ Usage_{it} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{s=1}^{P} \Phi_s \begin{pmatrix} AppCheck_{it-s} \\ Usage_{it-s} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{1t} \\ \delta_{2t} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} f_{1i} \\ f_{2i} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{1it} \\ \varepsilon_{2it} \end{pmatrix}$$

- $Y_{it} = (AppCheck_{it}, Usage_{it})$ : two-element column vector for an individual household <sub>i</sub> at time <sub>t</sub>
- *<sub>i</sub>* : Household individual
- t: Day
- *AppCheck* : EnerTalk mobile application engagement on the day (binary)
- Usage : Daily electricity consumption of each panel in kWh



## (1) Panel vector autoregression (PVAR)

### Lag Selection

Lag	J pvalue	MBIC	MAIC	MQIC
1	0.011	-106.358	-0.381	-37.325
2	0.136	-86.111	-6.629	-34.336
3	0.124	56.325	-3.337	-21.809
4	0.397	-30.424	-3.930	-13.166

	Dopondont variable			
	Dependent variable			
Independent variable	DailyUse <sub>i, t</sub>	AppCheck <sub>i, t</sub>		
DailyUse <sub>i,t-1</sub>	0.301 (0.216)	0.059 (0.32)		
AppCheck <sub><i>i</i>, <i>t</i>-1</sub>	0.002 (0.013)	-0.011 (0.028)		
Observations	7872			

284

Two of three criteria suggest that Lag 1 is the appropriate length of the lag



Note:

Households

\*\*\* Significant at p < 0.01; \*\* Significant at p < 0.05; \* Significant at p < 0.1



## (2) Difference in differences

Decreased amount in the 2<sup>nd</sup> stage for those who checked EnerTalk during specific period in comparison to the 1<sup>st</sup> stage consumption (H3)

$$ln(E_{it}) = \alpha_i + \beta * Progressive2_{it} + (\gamma * AppCheckatT_i) * (Progressive2_{it}) + d_t + \varepsilon_{it}$$

#### Interpretation

- $E_{it}$ : Daily electricity consumption in kWh measured by the smart meter of individual household
- $\alpha_i$  : Individual fixed effect (<u>no need for other control variables</u>)
- *Progressive*2 : Dates after an individual entered <u>2<sup>nd</sup> Progressive tariff stage</u>, >200kwh (binary)
- *AppCheckatT* : Those who checked EnerTalk at least once during 180~220kwh
  - Daily average consumption for those who entered the 2<sup>nd</sup> stage : **9.16 kwh**
  - <u>1~3 days before or after entering the 2<sup>nd</sup> stage of progressive tariff</u>
  - <u>72 panels out of 192 checked</u>
- $d_t$  : time fixed effect



#### Statistically not significant for the total sample (n=192) for those who consumed over 200 kwh in October

Variables	Total			
AppChackatT * Progressive?	-0.010			
Appeneekati 110gressivez	(0.011)			
Drogrossivo?	-0.001			
r logi essivez	(0.009)			
Observations	5913			
Households	192			
Those who checked EnerTalk	72 (200/)			
180~220kwh range	72 (30%)			
R-Squared	0.049			

#### > "What if we consider the demographic characteristics of the panels?"



### Different results depending on the subgroups

Variables	Housing	Housing	Household	Household	Child or	No child	Average	Average	Self-
	area	area ( $\geq 99m^2$ )	) size 1~3	size > 3	children		Monthly	Monthly	efficacy
	(<99m <sup>2</sup> )						income (< 4	income	score
							K USD)	$(\geq 4 \text{ K USD})$	top 25%
AppCheckatT *	-0.068***	0.014	-0.044**	0.014	0.008	-0.084***	-0.024	0.007	0.0187
Progressive2	(0.022)	(0.014)	(0.019)	(0.014)	(0.013)	(0.025)	(0.018)	(0.016)	(0.019)
Progressive2	0.048**	-0.017	0.046***	-0.027**	-0.009	0.035*	0.022	-0.004	-0.005
	(0.02)	(0.010)	(0.017)	(0.011)	(0.010)	(0.019)	(0.015)	(0.011)	(0.013)
Observations	1632	4281	2032	3881	4465	1448	2182	3546	2590
Households	53	139	66	126	145	47	71	115	84
R-Squared	0.083	0.052	0.059	0.074	0.08	0.08	0.055	0.077	0.049



#### Different results depending on the subgroups

Variables	Housing	Housing	Household	Household	Child or	No child	Average	Average	Self-
	area	area ( $\geq 99m^2$ )	size 1~3	size > 3	children		Monthly	Monthly	efficacy
	(<99m <sup>2</sup> )						income (< 4	income	score
							K USD)	$(\geq 4 \text{ K USD})$	top 25%
AppCheckatT *	-0.068***	0.014	-0.044**	0.014	0.008	-0.084***	-0.024	0.007	0.0187
Progressive2	(0.022)	(0.014)	(0.019)	(0.014)	(0.013)	(0.025)	(0.018)	(0.016)	(0.019)
Progressive2	0.048**	-0.017	0.046***	-0.027**	-0.009	0.035*	0.022	-0.004	-0.005
	(0.02)	(0.010)	(0.017)	(0.011)	(0.010)	(0.019)	(0.015)	(0.011)	(0.013)
Observations	1632	4281	2032	3881	4465	1448	2182	3546	2590
Households	53	139	66	126	145	47	71	115	84
R-Squared	0.083	0.052	0.059	0.074	0.08	0.08	0.055	0.077	0.049

- ► -6.8% housing area 99m<sup>2</sup>
- -4.4% family with less than 3 people
- -8.4% user without a child



## 1. Findings & Implications:

- Long-term smart meter users do not sensitively react to the day-to-day feedback
  - ✓ Financial reward from saving can be too little to motivate
- Energy consumption feedback from EnerTalk was effective depending on the demographical subgroups
  - ✓ Tailored messages sent out near the next tariff stage can possibly delay entering into the next tariff stage by reducing its consumption
- Electricity consumption information alone is difficult to have a high app engagement (low app check frequency)
  - ✓ Contents on saving electricity tips, increase gamification features, etc.
- The research improved the understanding of smart meter users in a natural setting and discovered how people use the smart meter in their daily life
- The shortcoming of IHDs were significantly improved



## 2. Future study

- Extending the data collection duration and specifically <u>including summer or winter months</u>
- Including samples representing the entire population
- Electricity data of the smart meter users before installing the device
- Conducting the analysis where the electricity tariff is higher than Korea or with dynamic pricing scheme (Germany, USA, etc.)
- Conducting an intervention study concentrating on progressive stage



