

The productivity Puzzle in Network Industries: Evidence from electricity, gas and water sectors

by Karim Anaya & Michael Pollitt

Energy Policy Research Group (EPRG)

Cambridge Judge Business School & Faculty of Economics University of Cambridge

> 42nd IAEE International Conference 31 May 2019, Montreal



Outline

- 1. About the paper
- 2. Methodology
- 3. Results and discussion
- 4. Final remarks



1. About the paper

- Evaluates the productivity growth of network industries (EGW), period 1998-2015.
- Explores other factors that may have contributed to a slow productivity growth (productivity puzzle) after...
- Use of EU KLEMS database (latest one from 2017).
- Focus on the 6 largest economies from Europe (DEU, ESP, FRA, ITA, NLD, UK) and USA.
- Based on a previous report prepared to Ofgem (Dec. 2018) <u>https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications-and-updates/riio-2-sector-specific-methodology-consultation</u>



2. Methodology

- **Growth Accounting** measures the growth of economic activity by examining changes in a set of inputs (L, K) over time and by an unaccounted or unexplained growth (Solow residual).
- The residual represents the total factor productivity (TFP) growth.
- Focus on valued added only.

The production function (*f*) can be represented as follows:

 $\Delta lnY_t = \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^M \Delta lnM + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^K \Delta lnK + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^L \Delta lnL + \Delta lnA$

Where Y: output, K: capital services, L: labour services, M: intermediate inputs and T: technology indexed by time For VA growth, we have:

 $\Delta lnVA_t = \bar{e}^K \Delta lnK + \bar{e}^L \Delta lnL + \Delta lnA$

Where Δ denotes changes between periods (t, t+1) for all the inputs, A is the TFP and e^{-k} , e^{-L} are average value shares between periods, $e^{-k} + e^{-L} = 1$



3.1 EGW TFP growth over time (1998-2015), annual figures

- TFP growth decreases after 2005.
- TFP growth for EGW with a positive contribution to the VA growth until around 2005.
- But with some peaks observed before 2005.
- Germany and Netherlands with positive TFP growth for the whole period.



Fig. 1: TFP growth on EGW industries

(*) USA and Spain with a negative annual TFP growth of 15.5 and 21.9 in 2001 and 2013 respectively. Source: EU KLEMS database.



3.2 EGW TFP growth (annual average for selected periods)

- Economic downturns explain poor TFP growth in EGW sectors (e.g. USA: dotcom in 2001, Europe: financial crisis 2008, debt crisis: 2011/12).
- TFP growth rates have not returned to the pre-crisis levels (2005 and backwards).
- In the UK a continuation of a downward trend is envisaged (Brexit referendum!).
- VA added growth is driven by TFP growth and capital growth mainly in EGW sectors.



Fig. 2: EGW TFP growth (specific periods)

In Netherlands, 1998-2000 figures are not available. Data for the first period (1998-2000) start with 1999 for USA. Data for the last period (2011-2015) end in 2014 for Italy. Estimations for each period based on average annual rates (expressed in logs). Source: EU KLEMS country level database.





3.3 Comparing TFP growth: EGW versus total industries

- EGW sectors have been hit more (with lower annual growth rates) than total industries, except in Germany.
- Italy and Spain also have a negative annual average TFP growth for all industries (and EGW).

Fig. 3: TFP growth: Total industries versus EGW sectors



In Netherlands, 1998-2000 figures are not available. Data for the first period (1998-2000) start with 1999 for USA. Data for the last period (2011-2015) end in 2014 for Italy.

Source: EU KLEMS country level database.





3.4 Understanding the productivity puzzle

- Economics downturns matters on TFP growth in the EGW industries but what else...
- A set of <u>regional (European) and national sector reforms in favour of the energy transition and</u> <u>water security</u>.
- EGW sectors with higher capex/interconnection costs due to more renewables.
- And lower revenues: **Reduction or flattening trend of electricity generation** (more renewables, lower wholesale prices, lower demand, etc.).



Fig. 4: Trend of electricity generation (index)

www.eprg.group.cam.ac.uk



3.4 Understanding the productivity puzzle

- **Lower consumption** too (energy efficiency)...
- The UK with the lowest reduction in final consumption (energy use) over time (1998 base).





Source: Eurostat

www.eprg.group.cam.ac.uk



3.4 Understanding the productivity puzzle

- A closer view in the UK confirms the reduction of electricity and gas in households in absolute (total) and relative figures (per household).
- However a larger contraction is observed in the consumption of the industrial sector in the UK, 12% versus 18% respectively (1998 base).



Fig. 6: Trend of electricity and gas consumption in households in the UK

Source: BEIS (2018)



3.4 Understanding the productivity puzzle

- Other factors.....
- Market share of the largest generator as a measure of competition (then lower prices), with important reductions in Spain and Italy.
- In the water sector:
 - Increase in water stress (climate change and rising population): pressure to reduce water consumption and system losses.
 - Lower water supply: Italy, Germany and France are among the European countries with the lowest freshwater resources per inhabitant.
 - Tendency to decrease productivity: investments for improving water quality (in line with stricter regulation) and the need to invest more to replace aging infrastructure.



4. Final Remarks

- The <u>productivity puzzle is present</u> in the individual countries, especially in the UK.
- Apart from the economic downturns, <u>productivity growth</u> especially in EG sectors may have been <u>negatively affected by</u> <u>the energy transition</u> which has required higher levels of inputs at the same time as competition, regulation and falling demand (then limited ability to raise revenues).
- <u>Recovery in TFP growth</u> for EGW sectors is <u>not expected to</u> <u>happen in the short term</u>.
- The <u>EGW sectors need to internalise the changes driven by the</u> <u>energy transition and global warming</u> and to adapt their operation and economics in line with this.
- <u>The need of new measures of productivity</u> to properly measure quality of service and environmental improvements.



Q&A

Thank you!